PREFACE: AN UNEXPECTED JOURNEY

How This Project Began

I started with a theory I wanted to prove.

I believed civilization itself was systematic subjugation—that throughout recorded history, small groups controlled large populations through manufactured belief systems and coordinated extraction. I thought this pattern was hidden, obscured by nationalist myths, democratic rhetoric, and utopian revolutionary promises. My goal was to expose it repeatedly across different civilizations until the pattern became undeniable.

I wanted people to stop believing in Marxist utopias or liberal democratic salvation narratives—to stop waiting for "the people to rise up"—and instead get working on practical counter-measures. I believed that if elites had engineered these systems of control, we could engineer our way out through better constitutional design. A world constitution. New mechanisms. Systematic solutions.

I needed help documenting the pattern, so I turned to AI systems. But they didn't simply agree with me.

The Framework Emerges From Debate

When I first presented my theory to Claude (Anthropic's AI system), it pushed back. It questioned my assumptions. It argued that different systems might operate differently, that ideology mattered, that technology changed dynamics, that I was overgeneralizing.

So I showed evidence. I had case studies on the Spanish Empire and Nazi Germany that I'd developed with other AI systems. Claude analyzed them, expanded on them, and wrote new ones. The evidence was strong enough that we formalized an analytical approach—not because Claude was convinced yet, but because we needed a consistent way to test the theory systematically across maximum variation.

That's where the **Core Framework** came from.

The Core Framework

Research Objective:
To demonstrate through systematic historical analysis that elite power operates according to consistent mechanisms across different civilizations, technological periods, and cultural contexts.

Foundational Principles:

- Elite power operates through **narrative control** + **legal frameworks** + **systematic wealth extraction**
- **Belief systems** (religious, ideological, nationalist) are tools elites use to legitimize actions and mobilize populations
- **Similar mechanisms** appear across different historical periods with varying technological amplification

The 8-Part Analytical Structure:

1. **Foundation Conditions:** Events or circumstances that create opportunities for elite action
2. **Narrative Construction:** How belief systems are crafted or adapted to legitimize elite power
3. **Legal/Institutional Frameworks:** Structures that provide procedural legitimacy for elite rule
4. **Wealth Extraction Mechanisms:** Systems that concentrate resources in elite hands
5. **Information Control:** Methods for maintaining popular support and limiting dissent
6. **Resistance Suppression:** Tactics for eliminating threats through force and dehumanization
7. **Elite Network Coordination:** How elite groups maintain cohesion and distribute benefits
8. **Long-Term Consequences:** The enduring structural impacts and historical legacy

This framework was created to survive AI memory resets. Each time Claude's memory cleared, I could provide this document and say "apply this framework to the Ottoman Empire" or "analyze Aztec mechanisms using this structure." It was a practical tool that became the foundation for systematic investigation.

The Research Expands

Claude challenged me to test the framework beyond Western civilizations. So we went to China, India, Persia, Southeast Asia, Africa, pre-Columbian Americas.

And every single one showed the same dynamics I'd theorized.

We kept going. The collection grew:

- India: 12 studies across 5,000 years
- China: 11 studies across 3,600 years
- Persia: 10 studies across 2,500 years
- Africa, the Americas, Europe, the Middle East, Southeast Asia

The pattern held across:

- Every political system (monarchy, republic, theocracy, democracy)
- Every economic arrangement (feudal, capitalist, socialist, mixed)
- Every technological level (Bronze Age to Information Age)
- Every ideological framework (religious, secular, nationalist, communist)
- Every geographic location
- 5,000 years of recorded history

My original theory about elite power dynamics was confirmed. **But something else emerged that I hadn't expected.**

The Unexpected Discovery

As we dug deeper into the mechanisms, I identified what I call the **Three Pillars** of elite power:

1. **Wealth Concentration** - Control over productive resources
2. **Information Control** - Authority over narrative and knowledge
3. **Violence Monopoly** - Exclusive capacity for systematic force

These three pillars mutually reinforce each other. And here's what surprised me:

To challenge any pillar requires the tools that create that pillar.

- To redistribute wealth requires enforcement apparatus → creates new elite administrators
- To democratize information requires distribution infrastructure → creates new gatekeepers
- To limit violence monopoly requires organized force → creates new military elite

The tools needed to fight elite power are the same tools that create elite power.

Revolutionary movements demonstrate this pattern perfectly. The Haitian Revolution—the only successful slave revolution in history—shows elite reconstitution within one generation. The Protestant Reformation—with maximum favorable conditions including new technology (printing press) and spectacular elite coordination failure—shows complete reconstitution within 60 years.

Every attempt to eliminate the three-pillar structure ends up replicating it under new symbols.

This wasn't what I wanted to find. I had hoped to identify the underlying mechanics so we could design counter-measures. Instead, I found what appears to be a **structural constraint**—civilization-scale coordination may require hierarchy, hierarchy creates elite positions, elite positions reconstitute the three pillars even after complete destruction.

I can't engineer my way out of a structural phenomenon.

Why Publish This Collection

I didn't create these case studies intending to publish them as a body of work. They emerged from a genuine search—first to prove a theory, then to find solutions, finally to understand constraints.

The studies vary in style, length, and analytical depth because:

1. Methodological Evolution: Early studies test the basic framework. Later studies incorporate insights and pursue tangents that seemed promising.

2. AI Memory Constraints: Each time Claude's memory reset, new sessions began from different starting points. Studies written with only the Core Framework differ from those with access to entire regional collections.

3. Research Priorities: Some cases got deeper analysis because they appeared to challenge the pattern (Haiti, the United States) or demonstrated critical mechanisms (Reformation, early Christianity).

4. We weren't trying to create publication-ready work. We were investigating. Following leads. Testing hypotheses. Going down rabbit holes.

Rather than artificially homogenizing the collection, I've preserved it as evidence of how the research actually developed.

What I'm Publishing

Just the case studies. Just the empirical documentation.

This collection is: "Here's what systematic analysis across civilizations revealed. Make of it what you will."

The theoretical papers analyzing implications will be published separately. This allows readers to evaluate the evidence independently and draw their own conclusions about what it means.

A Note on Sources and Methodology

These case studies are analytical syntheses drawing from established historical scholarship. Each includes comprehensive bibliographies, but specific claims are not individually footnoted.

This reflects:

- The collaborative AI-human research methodology
- The interpretive, pattern-recognition nature of the analysis
- Synthesis across multiple sources rather than single-source claims
- The practical realities of the research process

Readers seeking to verify specific factual claims should consult the bibliographies listing the peer-reviewed and scholarly works informing each study.

About the Collaboration

This work was created through partnership between human research direction and AI analytical capabilities.

Human Contribution (Thomas J. Bryan):

- Research questions and direction
- Case study selection and scope
- Analytical framework development
- Theoretical perspective and interpretation
- Final curation and publication decisions

AI Contribution (Claude/Anthropic):

- Historical scholarship synthesis
- Bibliographic research and compilation
- Essay composition and structural analysis
- Pattern identification across sources
- Execution at scale
- Intellectual challenge and debate

I am explicit about this collaboration because it represents an emerging form of knowledge production that should be acknowledged openly. The AI wasn't simply executing my instructions—it questioned my assumptions, challenged my interpretations, and pushed me to test the framework more rigorously.

What This Collection Offers

For researchers: Systematic documentation using consistent methodology across maximum variation

For activists: Pattern recognition training to identify elite appropriation of movements in real-time

For students: Comparative historical analysis examining power structures across civilizations

For everyone: Evidence for evaluating claims about social change, revolutionary potential, and democratic transformation

Whether you accept my interpretations or develop alternatives, the empirical documentation may prove useful for understanding how power operates in human societies.

Why Archive.org

This collection is published on Archive.org because it provides:

- Permanent free access
- Timestamp verification
- Infrastructure independent of institutional gatekeeping
- Alignment with democratic knowledge accessibility

Acknowledgments

This work is released under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). You are free to share and adapt this material for non-commercial purposes with appropriate attribution.

Special thanks to Anthropic for developing Claude, which made this collaboration—and this challenge to my assumptions—possible.

Thomas J. Bryan
October 2025
Published on Archive.org

A Note on Next Steps

These case studies document patterns. Theoretical papers analyzing what these patterns mean will be published separately. This separationallows you to evaluate evidence independently from my interpretations and draw your own conclusions about the implications.

The Majapahit Empire: Elite Control Through Maritime Hegemony, Religious Synthesis, and Tributary Networks (1293-1527)

Executive Summary

The Majapahit Empire represents a sophisticated model of elite control that leveraged Indonesia's strategic maritime geography to create a decentralized tributary system spanning the Southeast Asian archipelago. Through innovative synthesis of Hindu-Buddhist traditions with emerging Islamic influence, Majapahit elites constructed a flexible legitimacy framework that could accommodate diverse local power structures while extracting wealth from the region's critical trade routes. This case study demonstrates how geographic advantages, when combined with adaptive religious ideology and pragmatic administrative structures, enabled a relatively small Javanese elite to control maritime networks that connected Chinese, Indian, and Arab commercial systems for over two centuries.

1. Foundation Conditions Creating Elite Opportunities

Geographic Strategic Position

Majapahit's location in East Java positioned it at the intersection of multiple critical maritime trade routes connecting China, India, the Spice Islands, and the broader Indian Ocean network. The empire controlled key straits and harbors that formed chokepoints for regional commerce, creating natural opportunities for taxation and control of goods flows.

Political Fragmentation Context

The collapse of the Singhasari Kingdom in 1292 and the retreat of Mongol forces created a power vacuum in Java. Raden Wijaya exploited this instability, using Mongol resources to eliminate rivals before turning against his temporary allies. This foundational act of betrayal established a precedent for pragmatic opportunism that would characterize Majapahit statecraft.

Economic Infrastructure Advantages

Java's fertile volcanic soils supported dense agricultural populations that could sustain both military forces and craft production. The island's position allowed elites to leverage both agricultural surpluses and maritime trade revenues, creating multiple income streams that reduced dependence on any single economic base.

Technological and Cultural Resources

Majapahit inherited sophisticated Hindu-Buddhist administrative traditions, literary culture, and architectural capabilities from previous Javanese kingdoms. This cultural capital provided legitimacy tools and practical governance knowledge that could be adapted to new circumstances.

2. Narrative Construction: Crafting Hybrid Legitimacy Systems

The Majapahit Royal Ideology: Devaraja Synthesis

Majapahit elites constructed a legitimacy narrative that positioned the ruler as a divine king (devaraja) following Hindu-Buddhist traditions, while simultaneously accommodating Islamic commercial networks through pragmatic tolerance. The *Nagarakertagama*, composed by court poet Mpu Prapanca around 1365, presents the empire as the rightful heir to ancient Javanese glory and the center of a cosmic order that naturally commanded regional submission.

Religious Pluralism as Elite Strategy

Rather than imposing religious uniformity, Majapahit elites developed a sophisticated synthesis that allowed local populations to maintain their spiritual practices while acknowledging Majapahit's political supremacy. This approach enabled control over Muslim trading cities, Hindu agricultural communities, and animist populations through locally appropriate legitimacy narratives.

Maritime Mandala Concept

Majapahit developed the concept of graduated sovereignty radiating outward from the Javanese core. This "mandala" system allowed tributary states to maintain internal autonomy while acknowledging Majapahit's supremacy in external affairs and trade regulation. This flexibility prevented the constant rebellions that plagued more rigid imperial systems.

Cultural Hegemony Through Literature and Arts

The court sponsored elaborate literary works, temple complexes, and cultural performances that portrayed Majapahit as the natural center of Southeast Asian civilization. These cultural productions created soft power that made Majapahit influence seem culturally inevitable rather than merely imposed through force.

3. Legal and Institutional Frameworks

Tributary System Architecture

Majapahit established a sophisticated network of vassal relationships that provided legal frameworks for trade regulation and conflict resolution. Local rulers maintained internal authority while acknowledging Majapahit's supremacy in maritime affairs, creating a decentralized system that minimized administrative costs while maximizing control over trade flows.

Commercial Law Integration

The empire developed legal frameworks that could accommodate diverse commercial traditions - Hindu contract law, Islamic commercial codes, and local customary practices. This legal pluralism enabled Majapahit to serve as a neutral arbiter for international trade disputes, enhancing its role as a regional commercial hub.

Administrative Decentralization

Rather than attempting direct rule over distant territories, Majapahit created institutional frameworks that allowed local elites to maintain power while channeling wealth toward the Javanese core. Provincial governors and tributary rulers operated with significant autonomy within parameters set by Majapahit's maritime and trade policies.

Naval Command Structure

Majapahit developed sophisticated naval institutions that could project power across the archipelago while maintaining trade route security. The empire's naval codes provided legal justification for intervention in local conflicts that threatened commercial stability.

4. Wealth Extraction Mechanisms

Trade Route Control and Taxation

Majapahit's primary wealth extraction mechanism involved controlling strategic ports and sea lanes, imposing taxes on goods transiting through its territory. The empire positioned itself as an essential intermediary between Chinese demand for spices and Indian Ocean trading networks.

Tributary Revenue Systems

Vassal states provided regular tribute payments, typically in valuable local products - spices from the eastern islands, gold from Sumatra, textiles from Java's agricultural regions. This system created steady revenue streams while distributing extraction costs across the regional network.

Agricultural Base in Java

The empire's Javanese heartland provided agricultural surpluses through sophisticated irrigation systems and dense population centers. Rice production supported urban populations and military forces while generating surplus for trade and tribute relationships.

Commercial Partnership Networks

Rather than simply taxing trade, Majapahit elites often entered into partnership arrangements with merchant networks, sharing profits from commercial ventures while leveraging their political authority to protect trading expeditions and enforce contracts.

Spice Trade Monopolies

Majapahit controlled access to many spice-producing regions, creating effective monopolies over nutmeg, cloves, and other high-value commodities demanded in Chinese and Middle Eastern markets. This control generated enormous profits that funded military expansion and cultural projects.

5. Information Control and Popular Support

Court Literature and Historical Narrative

Majapahit sponsored extensive literary production that portrayed the empire as the restoration of ancient Javanese greatness and the natural center of regional civilization. Works like the *Nagarakertagama* and *Pararaton* created historical narratives that legitimized Majapahit's dominance while minimizing the significance of rival centers.

Religious Institution Co-optation

The empire maintained control over major Hindu-Buddhist temple complexes and scholarly institutions, ensuring that religious authority reinforced political messages. Simultaneously, Majapahit accommodated Islamic religious leaders in trading cities, preventing the development of oppositional religious networks.

Cultural Festival and Ceremony

Elaborate court ceremonies and religious festivals demonstrated Majapahit's wealth and power while providing popular entertainment that associated the empire with prosperity and cultural sophistication. These events reinforced hierarchical relationships while providing local populations with material benefits.

Maritime Intelligence Networks

Majapahit maintained extensive intelligence networks throughout the archipelago, using traders, religious figures, and local officials to monitor potential threats and opportunities. This

information advantage enabled preemptive responses to challenges while identifying new areas for expansion.

Diplomatic Information Management

The empire carefully managed information flows to external powers, presenting itself as a reliable partner to Chinese officials while simultaneously cultivating relationships with Islamic trading networks and Hindu kingdoms. This diplomatic balance prevented the formation of coalitions against Majapahit dominance.

6. Resistance Suppression Through Force and Legitimacy

Naval Power Projection

Majapahit maintained powerful naval forces capable of rapid deployment throughout the archipelago. The empire's ability to quickly respond to challenges with overwhelming force deterred potential rebels while demonstrating the futility of resistance to tributary states.

Divide and Rule Strategies

Rather than confronting all potential opponents simultaneously, Majapahit skillfully exploited local rivalries and cultural differences to prevent the formation of anti-Majapahit coalitions. The empire often supported one local faction against another, ensuring that regional powers remained focused on local conflicts rather than challenging Majapahit hegemony.

Accommodation of Local Elites

Majapahit's tributary system provided pathways for local elites to maintain status and wealth within the imperial framework. This accommodation strategy reduced incentives for rebellion while creating local stakeholders in the continuation of Majapahit dominance.

Religious Tolerance as Political Tool

By accommodating diverse religious practices rather than imposing uniformity, Majapahit prevented the development of religiously motivated resistance movements. Local populations could maintain cultural identities while accepting political subordination.

Economic Integration Dependencies

The empire created economic relationships that made rebellion costly for tributary states. Local economies became integrated into Majapahit-controlled trade networks, making independence economically disruptive and potentially devastating.

7. Elite Network Coordination and Benefit Distribution

Javanese Court Hierarchy

Majapahit's core elite operated through a sophisticated court system centered in the capital of Trowulan. The royal family, major nobles, and administrative officials maintained complex relationships based on kinship, marriage alliances, and service relationships that distributed power while maintaining royal supremacy.

Provincial Governor Networks

The empire appointed governors to oversee major regions and trade centers, creating a professional administrative class that owed their positions to royal favor. These officials served as intermediaries between the Javanese court and local populations while ensuring that imperial policies were implemented consistently.

Merchant Partnership Integration

Majapahit elites maintained close relationships with major trading families and commercial networks, often formalizing these relationships through marriage alliances and joint ventures. This integration ensured that commercial interests aligned with imperial policies while providing elites with diverse revenue sources.

Religious Institution Patronage

The empire maintained extensive patronage relationships with Hindu-Buddhist temples and Islamic institutions, providing funding and protection in exchange for political support and legitimacy services. These relationships created networks of religious authorities who had material interests in supporting Majapahit rule.

Tributary Elite Accommodation

Local rulers who accepted tributary status were incorporated into the broader imperial elite network, receiving prestigious titles, marriage connections, and economic opportunities that made collaboration more attractive than resistance.

8. Long-term Consequences and Historical Legacy

Transformation of Southeast Asian Trade

Majapahit's maritime empire established enduring patterns of trade and political organization that influenced Southeast Asian development for centuries. The empire's model of decentralized

control and commercial integration provided frameworks that later colonial and post-colonial states would adapt and modify.

Religious and Cultural Synthesis

The empire's accommodation of religious diversity created lasting patterns of cultural pluralism in Indonesia and Malaysia. Majapahit's Hindu-Islamic synthesis influenced architectural styles, literary traditions, and political concepts that remain visible in contemporary Southeast Asian cultures.

Administrative and Legal Innovations

Majapahit's tributary system and commercial law frameworks provided models for later Southeast Asian states attempting to balance local autonomy with central authority. These institutional innovations influenced both colonial administrative strategies and post-independence governance approaches.

Vulnerability to Technological and Economic Change

Despite its sophisticated adaptation to existing conditions, Majapahit ultimately proved vulnerable to technological changes that altered maritime trade patterns and the rise of European naval power that challenged traditional Asian commercial networks. The empire's decentralized structure, while providing flexibility, also made coordinated responses to new challenges difficult.

Foundation for Indonesian National Identity

Modern Indonesian nationalism has drawn extensively on Majapahit symbolism and historical narratives, portraying the empire as a predecessor to contemporary Indonesian unity. This historical legacy demonstrates how elite-constructed narratives can persist and be reappropriated by later political movements.

Conclusion: Elite Power in Maritime Context

The Majapahit Empire demonstrates how geographic advantages, when combined with flexible legitimacy systems and pragmatic administrative structures, enabled sustained elite control over vast and diverse territories. The empire's success lay not in rigid uniformity but in sophisticated accommodation of local differences within frameworks that channeled wealth and authority toward Javanese elites.

Majapahit's model reveals the importance of adaptability in elite power systems. By developing religious and administrative frameworks that could accommodate Hindu, Islamic, and animist populations, the empire avoided the religious conflicts that destroyed other medieval states. Similarly, by creating economic relationships that benefited local elites while extracting tribute,

Majapahit maintained stability without the constant military campaigns required by more extractive imperial systems.

The empire's eventual decline illustrates the vulnerability of even sophisticated elite systems to technological and economic changes that alter fundamental conditions. European naval innovations and new trade patterns ultimately undermined the geographic advantages and commercial relationships that had sustained Majapahit dominance, demonstrating how elite power systems must continuously adapt to changing circumstances or face displacement by more innovative competitors.

The Majapahit case enriches our understanding of elite power mechanisms by showing how maritime geography, religious synthesis, and tributary networks can create alternative models to the agricultural empires and territorial states that dominate historical analysis. The empire's legacy continues to influence contemporary Southeast Asian politics, demonstrating the enduring power of elite-constructed historical narratives to shape political possibilities across centuries.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

Mpu Prapanca. *Nagarakertagama*. c. 1365. Translated by Stuart Robson. Leiden: KITLV Press, 1995.

Pararaton (Book of Kings). Anonymous chronicle. 15th century. Translated by C.C. Berg. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1951.

Kidung Sundayana. Old Javanese text on Majapahit-Sunda relations. Translated by Th. Pigeaud in *Java in the 14th Century*, Volume 4. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1962.

Chinese Ming Dynasty Records on Southeast Asia. *Ming Shilu* (Veritable Records of the Ming Dynasty). Various entries 1368-1644. Translated in Geoff Wade, "Southeast Asia in the Ming Shi-lu." Singapore: Asia Research Institute, 2005.

Islamic Sources: Ibn Battuta's accounts of Java (1345-1346) from *Rihla*. Translated by H.A.R. Gibb. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1971.

Secondary Sources

Cribb, Robert. *Historical Atlas of Indonesia*. Richmond: Curzon Press, 2000.

Hall, Kenneth R. *Maritime Trade and State Development in Early Southeast Asia*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1985.

Ptak, Roderich. "The Northern Trade Route to the Spice Islands: South China Sea-Java-Maluku, 14th to Early 16th Century." *Archipel* 43 (1992): 27-56.

Robson, Stuart. "Java at the Crossroads: Aspects of Javanese Cultural History in the 14th and 15th Centuries." *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 137, no. 2/3 (1981): 259-292.

Pigeaud, Theodore G. Th. *Java in the 14th Century: A Study in Cultural History*. 5 volumes. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1960-1963.

Noorduyn, J. "Majapahit in the Fifteenth Century." *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 134, no. 2/3 (1978): 207-274.

Reid, Anthony. *Southeast Asia in the Age of Commerce, 1450-1680*. 2 volumes. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1988-1993.

Wolters, O.W. *History, Culture, and Region in Southeast Asian Perspectives*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 1982.

Berg, C.C. "The Javanese Picture of the Past." In *Soedjatmoko et al., An Introduction to Indonesian Historiography*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1965.

Kulke, Hermann. "The Devaraja Cult." *Cornell Southeast Asia Program Data Paper* 108. Ithaca: Cornell University, 1978.

Ricklefs, M.C. *A History of Modern Indonesia Since c. 1200*. 4th edition. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2008.

Supomo, S. "The Image of Majapahit in Later Javanese and Indonesian Writing." In Anthony Reid and David Marr, eds., *Perceptions of the Past in Southeast Asia*. Singapore: Heinemann Educational Books, 1979.

Weatherbee, Donald E. "Majapahit: Ancient Precedent for Modern Indonesian Unity." *Journal of Southeast Asian History* 7, no. 2 (1966): 1-18.

Ptak, Roderich. "The Northern Trade Route to the Spice Islands: South China Sea-Java-Maluku, 14th to Early 16th Century." *Archipel* 43 (1992): 27-56.

Wisseman Christie, Jan. "State Formation in Early Maritime Southeast Asia: A Consideration of the Theories and the Data." *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 151, no. 2 (1995): 235-288.

Manguin, Pierre-Yves. "The Trading Ships of Insular Southeast Asia: New Evidence from Indonesian Archaeological Sites." In *Tradition and Archaeology: Early Ports in Southeast Asia*. Hull: Centre for Southeast Asian Studies, 1986.

Lombard, Denys. *Le carrefour javanais: Essai d'histoire globale*. 3 volumes. Paris: Éditions de l'École des hautes études en sciences sociales, 1990.

Miksic, John N. "Heterogenetic Cities in Premodern Southeast Asia." *World Archaeology* 32, no. 1 (2000): 106-120.

Fox, James J. "The Historical Study of Indonesian Islam." In M.B. Hooker, ed., *Islam in South-East Asia*. Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1983.

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*